-DECISION-

Claimant:

Decision No.:

159-BR-11

MALAIKA L LESESNE

Date:

January 12, 2011

Appeal No.:

1032344

S.S. No.:

Employer:

BALTIMORE CITY COMMNTY COLLEGE

L.O. No.:

63

Appellant:

Claimant

Ussue: Whether the claimant is unemployed between academic years or terms, or during a customary vacation period, from an educational institution and has reasonable assurance of returning to work within the meaning of MD Annotated Code, Labor and Employment Article, Title 8, Section 909.

- NOTICE OF RIGHT OF APPEAL TO COURT -

You may file an appeal from this decision in the Circuit Court for Baltimore City or one of the Circuit Courts in a county in Maryland. The court rules about how to file the appeal can be found in many public libraries, in the <u>Maryland Rules of Procedure</u>, Title 7, Chapter 200.

The period for filing an appeal expires: February 11, 2011

REVIEW ON THE RECORD

The Board adopts the hearing examiner's findings of fact except for the last paragraph (which comprises one sentence). The Board further finds the following findings of fact:

The claimant's Spring 2010 employment contract ended on April 19, 2010. No additional work was available for the claimant. The employer did not provide the claimant with reasonable assurance, either in writing or orally, that she would return to work for the next academic semester or term which was to begin in September 7, 2010.

The General Assembly declared that, in its considered judgment, the public good and the general welfare of the citizens of the State required the enactment of the Unemployment Insurance Law, under the police powers of the State, for the compulsory setting aside of unemployment reserves to be used for the benefit of individuals unemployed through no fault of their own. *Md. Code Ann., Lab. & Empl. Art., § 8-102(c)*. Unemployment compensation laws are to be read liberally in favor of eligibility, and disqualification provisions are to be strictly construed. *Sinai Hosp. of Baltimore v. Dept. of Empl. & Training, 309 Md. 28 (1987)*.

The Board reviews the record *de novo* and may affirm, modify, or reverse the findings of fact or conclusions of law of the hearing examiner on the basis of evidence submitted to the hearing examiner, or evidence that the Board may direct to be taken, or may remand any case to a hearing examiner for purposes it may direct. *Md. Code Ann., Lab. & Empl. Art., § 8-510(d)*; *COMAR 09.32.06.04(H)(1)*. The Board fully inquires into the facts of each particular case. *COMAR 09.32.06.02(E)*.

Md. Code Ann., Lab. & Empl. Art., § 8-909 provides, in pertinent part:

- (b) Educational institutions; services performed in instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity. --
- (1) With respect to services performed in an instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity for an educational institution, benefits may not be paid based on those services for any week of unemployment that begins during:
 - (i) a period between 2 successive academic years;
 - (ii) a similar period between 2 regular but not successive terms; or
 - (iii) a period of contractually provided paid sabbatical leave.
 - (2) This subsection applies only to any individual who:
- (i) performs the services in an instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity in the first of 2 academic years or terms; and
- (ii) has a contract or reasonable assurance that the individual will perform the services in an instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity for any educational institution in the second of the 2 academic years or terms.

* * * * * * *

(d) Educational institutions; services performed in instructional, research, or principal administrative capacity -- Vacations and holidays. --